



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,991	10/13/2000	Jai Rawat	OBON0003	1050

7590 12/17/2003

GLENN PATENT GROUP
3475 Edison Way, Suite L
Menlo Park, CA 94025

EXAMINER

SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELM I

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2157

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PRE

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/687,991

Applicant(s)

RAWAT ET AL.

Examiner

Salad E Abdullahi

Art Unit

2157

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5,8-10,13-16,19-22,24-26 and 28-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5,8-10,13-16,19-22,24-26 and 28-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 12.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2157

Response to Amendment

1. The Amendment filed on 09/23/2003 has been entered and made of record.
2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5, 8-10, 13-16, 19-22, 24-26 and 28-30 have been considered but are not persuasive for the following reasons.

Applicant alleges claims 1, 13 and 24 now also comprise distinguished features "form filling instructions residing on said first computer for creating a filled form by filling in said blank form using a fuzzy fill procedure". In response to the applicant's argument the reference does not teach creating a filled form in said blank form using fuzzy fill procedure, examiner reviewed the specification of the instant application and was not able to find any support for limitation creating a filled form "using a fuzzy fill procedure". Examiner interprets the above limitation as filling a blank using an automated procedure by extracting data to fill in said blank form (col. 1, lines 18-59).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1, 13 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The subject matter "using fuzzy fill procedure" in claims 1, 13 and 24 are not

Art Unit: 2157

described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 1-3, 5, 8-10, 13-16, 19-22, 24-26 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Markus U.S. Patent No. 6,499,042.

As per claims 1, 13 and 24, Markus discloses a system for automating data transactions between computers servers, comprising:

- a first computer server fig. 2, element 15) maintaining a database having stored data recorded therein, said stored data comprising general user information (user's personal data) relating plurality of servers(see fig. 2, and col. 1, lines 18-59);

Art Unit: 2157

- program code (applet) residing on said first computer server for creating extracted data by selectively extracting said stored data responsive to a request (see fig. 2, and col. 1, lines 18-59 and col. 3, lines 20-65); and
- additional program code residing on said first computer server for obtaining a blank form, and for parsing said blank form to identify which of said extracted data should be used to fill in at least a part of said blank form (see fig. 2, and col. 1, lines 18-59 and col. 3, lines 20-65).
- form filing program residing on the on the first server for creating a filled form in said blank form using a fuzzy fill procedure(using an automated fill procedure) (see col. 1, lines 17-39)
- submitting the automatically filled to a seconder server (sending the filled to a selective proxy) (see col. 3, lines 20-64 and col. 1, lines 18-59).

In considering claims 2, 14 and 25, Markus discloses a system, wherein said extracted data includes data for all fields in said blank form (col. 1, lines 18-59).

In considering claims 3, 15 and 26, Markus discloses a system, wherein said blank form is obtained from a second computer server (form originating server) (see fig. 2, element 14).

Art Unit: 2157

In considering claims 5 and 16, Markus discloses a system, wherein said blank form is a login form (col. 3, lines 20-65).

In considering claims 8, 19, 28 and 30, Markus discloses a system, further comprising:
an additional database maintained at said first computer server (see col. 1, lines 18-59 and col. 3, lines 20-65);
additional database having stored form data recorded therein (see col. 1, lines 18-59 and col. 3, lines 20-65);
stored form data relating to forms required by at least one other computer server (see col. 1, lines 18-59 and col. 3, lines 20-65).

In considering claim 9, 20 and 29, comparing data fields in said blank form with said stored form data recorded in said additional database (is inherent, see also col. 3, lines 20-64).

In considering claims 10 and 21, Markus discloses a system wherein said stored form data includes parsed form data from said at least one other computer server (see col. 1, lines 18-59).

In considering claim 22, Markus discloses a system further comprising:
as result of parsing filling in said blank form (see col. 1, lines 18-59 and col. 3, lines 20-65).

Art Unit: 2157

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

CONCLUSION

8. The prior art made of record and relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicants disclosure.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Abdullahi E. Salad** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-8441**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from **8:30 AM to 5:00 PM**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Etienne, Ario** can be reached at **(703)308-7562**. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is **(703)305-3900**.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,991

Page 7

Art Unit: 2157

Any response to this action should mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks


Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306.

As

012/11/2003


ARJO ETIENNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100